

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
MARSHALL DIVISION

NETLIST, INC.,	§	
	§	
<i>Plaintiff,</i>	§	
	§	
v.	§	CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:22-CV-00293-JRG
	§	(Lead Case)
SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO, LTD, <i>et</i>	§	
<i>al.</i>	§	
	§	
<i>Defendants.</i>	§	

NETLIST, INC.,	§	
	§	
<i>Plaintiff,</i>	§	
	§	
v.	§	CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:22-CV-00294-JRG
	§	
MICRON TECHNOLOGY, INC., <i>et al.</i>	§	
	§	
<i>Defendants.</i>	§	


ORDER

Before the Court is Plaintiff Netlist, Inc.’s (“Netlist”) Motion to Withdraw Motion to Compel Production of Valuation Documents (the “Motion to Withdraw”). (Dkt. No. 245.) In the Motion to Withdraw, Netlist moves to withdraw its Motion to Compel Production of Valuation Documents (Dkt. No. 219) (the “Motion to Compel”). (*Id.*) The Motion to Withdraw is unopposed. (*Id.*)

Having considered the Motion to Withdraw, and noting that it is unopposed, the Court finds that the same should be and hereby is **GRANTED**. Accordingly, Netlist’s Motion to Compel (Dkt. No. 219) is **WITHDRAWN**.

So Ordered this

Dec 5, 2023



RODNEY GILSTRAP
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE